a larger population than the Borankanve area. Is it not therefore worth while restoring the Ankasandra tank?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—We shall investigate if a smaller tank at the place could be constructed.

Mr. Mummadi H. C. VEERABHADRAPPA.—With reference to answer to (*d*) and (*e*), when was the estimate for the Ankasandra project prepared?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—No detailed plans and estimates have been prepared as yet.

Allegations against the Amildar of Hunsur Taluk.

Q.—19. **Mr. H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Hunsur Taluk).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) (i) whether there have been any complaints from the raiyats against the Amildar, Hunsur Taluk, regarding his conduct, or relating to any other matter; (ii) if so, how many; (iii) and when they were made;

(*b*) whether any enquiries have been instituted in respect of these complaints; if so, in respect of which complaints have enquiries been held; the result of such enquiries; who conducted the enquiry;

(*c*) the number of days after the receipt of such complaints the enquiry was instituted: the reason for the delay?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).**—

(*a*) (i) Yes.
(ii) Three.
(iii) 1. 15-11-1937.
2. 28-11-1937.
3. 29-11-1937

(*b*) Yes. In respect of complaint petitions dated the 15th November 1937 and 28th November 1937.

The complaints were not proved.

The Sub-Division Officer, Mysore.

(*c*) The enquiry was started on 18th April 1938. The enquiry could not be started earlier owing to the failure of parties to appear and hesitation on their part to give their statement.

Need for a Munsiff-Magistrate's Court at Hunsur.

Q.—21. **Messrs. Gurukar NANJAPPA (Gundlupet Taluk); SIDDAPPA (Nanjangud Taluk); S. C. MALLAIAH (Maddur Taluk); H. K. MADIAH (Malvalli Taluk) and J. DEVAIYA (Mandya Taluk).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of civil suits filed in the Munsiff's Court at Hunsur pertaining to the taluks of Krishnarajanagara, Hunsur and the Periyapatna Sub-Taluk; the income derived (particulars of income per annum and the number of cases for the last three years may kindly be furnished talukwar);

(*b*) whether the cases of Heggaddevankote Taluk were not again transferred to Mysore on the ground that it was inconvenient for the people of Heggaddevankote to go to Hunsur;

(*c*) whether the people of Krishnarajanagara have not been often praying for establishing a Munsiff-Magistrate's Court in Krishnarajanagara?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).**—

(*a*) Please see statement appended. (Appendix).

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Yes.

Lokasevaniratha Bale SIDDALINGA SETTY.—With reference to answer (*b*), why was jurisdiction over civil suits from Heggaddevankote again transferred to the Mysore Court?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—In the interests of the convenience of both the Government and the litigant public.

Mr. Bale SIDDALINGA SETTY.—With reference to answer (*c*), why has the prayer of the people of Krishnarajanagara not been granted yet?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Because it would mean a heavy expenditure with no adequate return.

Mr. Bale SIDDALINGA SETTY.—Even so, is it not the duty of Government to provide for the necessary conveniences to all their subjects?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Yes, to the extent possible, and consistent with financial justification. Moreover, it is